



### EFHL Round Three Tiering Changes and Appeals

***Publication of any EFHL Tiering Changes outside the EFHL Tiering Policy and any Appeals filed by Clubs, Districts, Operating Areas or Interlock MHA's.***

#### **Tiering Committee Tiering Moves that fall outside EFHL Tiering Policy:**

1. **Tier 4-R1002 requesting to move down-Request granted by Tiering Committee to move R1002 to Tier 5.**
  - a) With only 2 games it's hard to tell but if you add in the first 4 games (which are all teams that are still within the division) for the 6 games they have 50 goals against and 14 for which is a -36 goals against.
  - b) Based off of this the tier 3/4 director and myself feel it would be safe to oblige the request of moving down.
  - c) We agree and approve.

#### **EFHL Tiering Appeals and Result:**

1. **Novice SA723-Appeal to move to Major Novice Tier 2-DENIED**

Much of the narrative in the emails appears to address the results of the seeding round (1) of play. Those results are now irrelevant and any concerns respecting round one should have been brought up at that time.

In round 2 the group looked at all 4 games played and decided that with the exception of 1 game vs SW577, SA723 was competitive having tied 1 game and losing 2 close games resulting in a -4 record.

Given the above, SA723 is competitive and should **remain in tier 1**.

2. **Atom SA736-Appeal to remain in Tier 2 and not move to Tier 1 per EFHL Tiering Policy-Appeal Overturned-SA736 shall remain in Tier 2**

- a) This team is +24 in round 2. SA736 beat SP856 10-1. SP856 record is 3-1-0 with a -17 +/- . If you remove their loss to SA736 from SP856 record they drop to -4 from -17 and have an undefeated record. By doing so SA736 is +14 having beat KC070 (9-1)



- and SE462 (10-1) handily. Note the SE462 is moving to Tier 3. IF we remove both games against SE462 and SG856 then SA736 finishes with 1 win and 1 loss and a +6, which doesn't meet the threshold to move.
- b) If we remove games against the 29th ranked team, SE462 (10-1 win) and the 28th ranked team, KC070 (9-2 win) SA736 +/- is +8 over 2 games. Plus 8 does not meet the threshold to move.
  - c) We understand that today SA736 played SP799 (record is 2-2-0 with a -3 rating) and won 9-5 having scored 3 quick goals in the last 5 minutes. If added to point 2 above SA736 record goes to +12 over 3 games which still does not meet the threshold to move.
3. **Atom SA745-Appeal to remain in Tier 5 and not be promoted to Tier 4 as per EFHL Tiering Policy-Appeal Overturned and SA745 will remain in Tier 5.**
- Games involving SA747 and SA749 here not considered and results in SA745 and KC076 being deemed competitive and **NOT recommended for promotion to tier 4.**
4. **Atom SA746-Appeal to remain in Tier 5 and not be moved to Tier 4 as per EFHL Tiering Policy-Appeal Overturned and SA746 will remain in Tier 5.**

We considered that 18 points came against the bottom 2 ranked teams (SA749 and SA747) and should not be considered competitive play in terms of making a decision. If you remove the game against SA749, SA746 is +7. If you remove both games, SA746 is +2. Neither meet the threshold for a move.

Given the above, we recommend SA746 NOT be moved and **remain in Tier 5.**