

WIDESIDE

THE OFFICIAL NEWSLETTER OF FOOTBALL ALBERTA
11759 GROAT ROAD, EDMONTON, ALBERTA T5M 3K6
EDITOR: TIM ENGER

WINTER 2006

(780)427-8108(b) (780)427-0524(f) tenger@telus.net or bfryer@telus.net

SEE PAGE 11 FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS' REPORT

UPDATES:

- Congratulations to the following teams which won 2004 Provincial Championships at their level of play this past season:

Atom (age 9-10) West Edmonton Raiders

Pee-Wee (age 11-12)

Tier I Sherwood Park Bulldogs

Tier II Edmonton Chargers

Bantam (age 13-15)

Tier I Sherwood Park Rams

Tier II Calgary Mavericks

Tier III Leduc Ti-Cats

High School

Tier IV Ardrossan Bisons

Tier III Cochrane Cobras

Tier II St. Mary's Saints

Tier I Raymond Comets

- Special Congratulations go out to the **Edmonton Huskies** who won their second straight National Junior Championship with a 34-15 victory over the St. Leonard Cougars on Nov. 12th in Montreal.

- The **Annual Football Alberta/University of Calgary Football Clinic** will be held in Calgary on **Friday, March 10** and **Saturday, March 11**. As usual it will be held in conjunction with the **Football Alberta Coaches Awards Banquet**. The format of the Coaches Clinic will be the same with several coaches from the professional, university/junior, high school and minor ranks from both sides of the border will be presenting. A more detailed list of events and a registration form will be coming to your attention soon.

- The annual **Senior Bowl High School All-Star Game** will take place on **Monday, May 22, 2006** at the completion of the May long weekend. The game itself will be at **12:00 p.m.** at **Clarke Stadium** in **Edmonton**. The tryout camp for the "North" is on the weekend of **April 7-9** in **Edmonton** while the "South" camp will be on the weekend of **April 21-23** in **Calgary**. Nominations by high school coaches for Senior Bowl tryouts are due as of January 31, 2006.

- 2006 is also an Alberta Summer Games year

which will feature a tournament for bantam aged players. It will be held in Red Deer from July 27-30 and check out the Football Alberta web page in early February for try-out information.

- **Football Canada Cup 2006** will be held in Winnipeg, Manitoba from July 8-15. Stay tuned to the Football Alberta website for further information on this event.

- Congratulations to the following Junior Football Players from Alberta who were named **All-Canadian for 2005** (High School in brackets):

Simeon Rottier (OL) – Edmonton Huskies
(R.F. Staples H.S. – Westlock)

Cassidy Doneff (WR) – Calgary Colts
(Cochrane H.S.)

Jaiden Smith (RB) – Vancouver Island Raiders
(Grande Prairie H.S.)

Jason Bobst (LB) – Edmonton Huskies
(Parkland Predators – Spruce Grove)

Marco Ianuzzi (KR) – Edmonton Huskies
(St. Francis H.S. – Calgary)

Special congratulations to **Jason Bobst** for being named the CJFL's Top Defensive Player for 2005.

- Congratulations to the following Albertans who were named **CIS All-Canadians for 2005** (these are all players who played for Alberta Universities or graduated from Alberta High Schools):

1st Team: **Chris Best** (OL) – Waterloo University
(Western Canada H.S. – Calgary)

Kyle Markin (DL) – Acadia University
(Henry Wise Wood H.S. - Calgary)

Jason Milne (S) – University of Alberta
(Bev Facey H.S. in Sherwood Park)

2nd Team: **Andrew Ginther** (WR) – Univ. of Alberta
(via Saskatoon Hilltops)

Simon Patrick (DL) – Univ. of Manitoba
(Parkland Predators – Spruce Grove)

David Lowry (LB) – Univ. of Alberta
(L.C.I. – Lethbridge)

Dan Federkiel (DL) – University of

Calgary

(Medicine Hat H.S.)

Steven Boyko (DB) – University of Alberta
(Salisbury H.S. – Sherwood Park)

Special congratulations to **Dan Fedekiel** who was selected to the **East/West Shrine Game**

RESULTS:

2005 YEARBOOK OF RESULTS

SENIOR MEN'S

<u>ALBERTA LEAGUE</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Edmonton Stallions	9	0	0	395	107	18
Calgary Wolfpack	7	2	0	365	133	14
Grande Prairie Drillers	7	2	0	293	140	14
Red Deer Buccaneers	5	3	1	187	181	11
Calgary Gators	5	4	0	166	199	10
Lloydminster Vandals	5	4	0	180	193	10
Wainwright Longhorns	3	6	0	116	251	6
Medicine Hat Marauders	2	7	0	98	268	4
Calgary Thunder	1	7	1	138	218	3
Calgary Razorbacks	0	9	0	14	262	0

SEMIS: Edmonton 35 Red Deer 13
Calgary Wolfpack 54 Grande Prairie 6

FINAL: Calgary Wolfpack 42 Edm. Stallions 28

NATIONAL FINAL: Calgary 20 Tri-Cities 14

UNIVERSITY

<u>CANADA WEST LEAGUE</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
U of Saskatchewan Huskies	8	0	0	294	99	16
U of Alberta Golden Bears	7	1	0	226	149	14
U of Manitoba Bisons	4	4	0	228	172	8
UBC Thunderbirds	4	4	0	210	200	8
U of Regina Rams	3	5	0	188	276	6
U of Calgary Dinosaurs	2	6	0	149	259	4
Simon Fraser Univ. Clan	0	8	0	160	300	0

SEMIS: Saskatchewan 32 UBC 6
Alberta 33 Manitoba 24

HARDY CUP: Saskatchewan 30 Alberta 17

MITCHELL BOWL: Saskatchewan 29 Laval 27

VANIER CUP: Laurier 24 Saskatchewan 23

JUNIOR

<u>PRAIRIE FOOTBALL CONF.</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Winnipeg Rifles	7	1	0	296	169	14
Saskatoon Hilltops	6	2	0	172	148	12
Edmonton Huskies	5	3	0	279	149	10
Edmonton Wildcats	4	4	0	247	224	8
Regina Prairie Thunder	2	6	0	143	212	4
Calgary Colts	0	8	0	146	381	0

PFC SEMIS: Winnipeg 39 Edm. Wildcats 22
Edm. Huskies 16 Saskatoon 14

PFC FINAL: Edm. Huskies 35 Winnipeg 17

NATIONAL SEMI : Edmonton 57 South Fraser 3

NATIONAL FINAL: Edmonton 34 St. Leonard 15

MIDGET (Spring)

<u>CALGARY MIDGET LEAGUE</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Calgary Wildcats	6	0	0	152	32	12
Tri-County Freeze	4	2	0	113	54	8
Calgary Cowboys	4	2	0	133	73	8
Calgary Colts	3	2	1	116	88	7
Calgary Bulldogs	3	3	0	167	182	6
Calgary Hilltoppers	3	3	0	161	143	6
Foothills Eagles	2	3	1	97	149	5
Calgary Mavericks	1	5	0	74	149	2
Calgary Broncos	0	6	0	64	197	0

FINAL: Tri-County 15 Calgary Wildcats 10

<u>CAPITAL DISTRICT MIDGET</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Sherwood Park Rams	5	0	0	156	14	10
Edmonton Raiders	4	1	0	122	68	8
Parkland Outlaws	3	2	0	103	31	6
Sherwood Park Wolverines	3	2	0	92	84	6
Fort McMurray Ravens	1	4	0	52	80	2
Sherwood Park Northstars	1	4	0	38	123	2
Edmonton Seahawks	0	5	0	13	176	0

FINAL: Raiders win round robin final

HIGH SCHOOL

<u>MIGHTY PEACE LEAGUE</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Peace Conference						
Peace River Pioneers	5	0	0			10
South Peace Penguins	3	2	0			6
Sexsmith Sabres	2	2	0			4
Beaverlodge Royals	2	3	0			4
Grande Prairie Warriors	2	3	0			4
Whitecourt Cats	0	4	0			0

SEMIS: Peace River 19 Beaverlodge 14
South Peace 27 Sexsmith 24

FINAL: Peace River 20 South Peace 10

<u>Athabasca Conference</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Peace Wapiti Titans	4	0	0			8
St. Josephs Celtics	3	1	0			6
Hinton Wolfpack	2	2	0			4
Valleyview Cougars	1	3	0			2
North Peace Oscars	0	4	0			0

SEMIS: Peace Wapiti 27 Valleyview 0
St. Josephs 18 Hinton 14

FINAL: Peace Wapiti 14 St. Josephs 8

<u>EDMONTON PUBLIC (SR.)</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Pool "A"						
Ross Sheppard Thunderbirds	4	0	0	115	8	8
Parkland Predators	3	1	0	154	50	6
Eastglen Blue Devils	2	2	0	60	103	4
J. Percy Page Panthers	1	3	0	49	122	2
Jasper Place Rebels	0	4	0	43	138	0

<u>Pool "B"</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Harry Ainlay Titans	4	0	0	153	23	8
Strathcona Lords	3	1	0	86	52	6
M.E. LaZerte Voyageurs	2	2	0	82	82	4
McNally Tigers	1	3	0	42	85	2
W.P. Wagner Warriors	0	4	0	3	124	0

SEMIS: Harry Ainlay 29 Parkland 0
Strathcona 33 Ross Sheppard 21

FINAL: Strathcona 29 Harry Ainlay 22 (OT)

<u>EDMONTON PUBLIC (JR.)</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Ross Sheppard Thunderbirds	6	0	0	188	79	12
Parkland Predators	5	1	0	142	36	10
Jasper Place Rebels	4	2	0	107	37	8
Harry Ainlay Titans	2	4	0	101	123	4
McNally Tigers	2	4	0	62	112	4
M.E. LaZerte Voyageurs	2	4	0	101	111	4
W.P. Wagner Warriors	0	6	0	20	217	0

SEMIS: Ross Sheppard 32 Harry Ainlay 22
Jasper Place 10 Parkland 8

FINAL: Ross Sheppard 22 Jasper Place 7

EDMONTON METRO (SR.)

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Pool "A"						
Archbishop Jordan Scots	5	0	0	146	27	10
St. Albert Skyhawks	3	1	1	130	58	6
Austin O'Brien Crusaders	3	2	0	70	71	6
Leduc Tigers	2	2	1	67	75	5
St. Francis Xavier Rams	1	4	0	62	84	2
Holy Trinity Trojans	0	5	0	20	180	0

Pool "B"

Bev Facey Falcons	6	0	0	320	29	12
Salisbury Sabres	5	1	0	173	66	10
Archbishop O'Leary Spartans	3	3	0	153	146	6
Beaumont Bandits	3	3	0	71	115	6
Ardrossan Bisons	2	4	0	103	113	4
Bellerose Bulldogs	2	4	0	114	177	4
Fort Saskatchewan Sting	0	6	0	7	295	0

SEMIS: Bev Facey 22 St. Albert 0
Archbishop Jordan 12 Austin O'Brien 0

FINAL: Bev Facey 34 Archbishop Jordan 10

EDMONTON METRO (JR.)

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
St. Albert Skyhawks	5	1	1	123	45	11
Bellrose Bulldogs	5	2	0	174	89	10
Bev Facey Falcons	4	2	1	132	75	9
St. Josephs Saints	4	3	0	124	130	8
St. Francis Xavier Rams	4	3	0	97	73	8
Archbishop Jordan Scots	3	4	0	96	123	6
Austin O'Brien Crusaders	1	6	0	71	164	2
Salisbury Sabres	1	6	0	51	169	2

SEMIS: St. Albert 18 St. Josephs 6
Bellerose 14 Bev Facey 0

FINAL: St. Albert 29 Bellerose 9

WHEATLAND LEAGUE

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Lloydminster Barons	5	1	0	204	93	10
St. Paul Lions	5	1	0	208	98	10
Wainwright Commandos	4	2	0	224	150	8
Grand Centre Royals	4	2	0	185	91	8
Athabasca Pacers	2	4	0	104	142	4
Lac La Biche Huskies	1	5	0	107	173	2
Bonnyville Voyageurs	0	6	0	28	313	0

SEMIS: Lloydminster 46 Grande Centre 26
Wainwright 44 St. Paul 28

FINAL: Lloydminster 20 Wainwright 19 (OT)

CENTRAL ALBERTA

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Norm Brown Conference						
Wetaskiwin Sabres	5	0	1			10
Notre Dame Cougars	4	2	0			8
Lacombe Rams	3	2	1			7
Camrose Trojans	2	4	0			4
Innisfail Cyclones	0	6	0			0
Brick Ward Conference						
Stettler Wildcats	5	1	0		10	
Sylvan Lake Lakers	3	3	0			6
Hunting Hills Lightning	3	3	0			6
Rocky Mtn. House Rebels	2	4	0			4
Lindsay Thurber Raiders	2	4	0			4

SEMIS: Wetaskiwin 45 Hunting Hills 7
Sylvan Lake 38 Stettler 14

FINAL: Sylvan Lake 27 Wetaskiwin 20

CALGARY DIV. I (SR.)

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Henry Wise Wood Warriors	5	0	1	206	61	11
St. Francis Browns	5	1	0	181	78	10
Bowness Trojans	4	1	1	230	125	9
Western Canada Redmen	3	3	0	67	101	6
Lord Beaverbrook Lords	2	4	0	111	152	4
William Aberhart Trojans	1	5	0	53	192	2
Sir Winston Churchill Bulldogs	0	6	0	39	178	0

SEMIS: Henry Wise Wood 35 Lord Beaver. 9
St. Francis 24 Bowness 22

FINAL: St. Francis 41 Henry Wise Wood 16

CALGARY DIV. II (SR.)

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
St. Mary's Saints	6	1	0	170	51	12
Central Memorial Rams	5	1	1	161	71	11
John G. Diefenbaker Chiefs	4	1	2	166	119	10
Bishop O'Byrne Trailblazers	4	2	1	133	96	9
Bishop McNally Timberwolves	3	4	0	104	108	6
Bishop Grandin Ghosts	2	5	0	108	152	4
Dr. E.P. Scarlett Lancers	1	6	0	42	131	2
Notre Dame Lions	1	6	0	36	192	2

SEMIS: St. Mary's 9 Bishop O'Bryne 2
John G. Diefenbaker 20 Central 11

FINAL: St. Mary's 38 John G. Diefenbaker 7

CALGARY DIV. III (SR.)

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Crescent Heights Cowboys	6	0	0	160	39	12
Centennial Coyotes	4	1	1	156	118	9
James Fowler Falcons	4	1	1	114	78	9
Bishop Carroll Cardinals	3	3	0	120	97	6
Father Lacombe Lazars	2	4	0	131	167	4
Ernest Manning Griffins	1	5	0	53	162	2
Forest Lawn Titans	0	6	0	57	130	0

SEMIS: Cres. Heights 22 Father Lacombe 15
James Fowler 33 Centennial 27

FINAL: James Fowler 30 Cres. Heights 29

CALGARY DIV. I (JR.)

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Henry Wise Wood Warriors	6	0	0	200	75	12
St. Francis Browns	5	1	0	216	121	10
Western Canada Redmen	4	2	0	119	58	8
Bowness Trojans	3	3	0	129	88	6
Sir Winston Churchill Bulldogs	2	4	0	105	160	4
Lord Beaverbrook Lords	1	5	0	80	187	2
William Aberhart Trojans	0	6	0	69	229	0

SEMIS: Henry Wise Wood 45 Bowness 0
St. Francis 26 Western Canada 20

FINAL: Henry Wise Wood 22 St. Francis 7

CALGARY DIV. II (JR.)

	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Central Memorial Rams	7	0	0	219	38	14
Bishop O'Byrne Trailblazers	5	2	0	174	84	10
John G. Diefenbaker Chiefs	4	3	0	111	111	8
St. Mary's Saints	4	3	0	92	70	8
Bishop Grandin Ghosts	1	6	0	51	149	2
Dr. E.P. Scarlett Lancers	0	7	0	29	229	0

SEMIS: Central Memorial 22 St. Mary's 0
Bishop O'Byrne 15 John Diefenbaker 14

FINAL: Central Memorial 19 Bishop O'Byrne 3

<u>CALGARY DIV. III (JR.)</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Centennial Coyotes	5	0	0	204	7	10
Crescent Heights Cowboys	4	1	0	64	77	8
James Fowler Falcons	3	2	0	95	111	6
Ernest Manning Griffins	2	3	0	70	71	4
Bishop Carroll Cardinals	1	4	0	68	125	2
Forest Lawn Titans	0	5	0	38	148	0

SEMIS: Centennial 38 Ernest Manning 0
Crescent Heights 25 James Fowler 0

FINAL: Centennial 49 Crescent Heights 9

<u>ROCKYVIEW LEAGUE</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Cochrane Cobras	6	0	0	321	32	12
Foothills Falcons	5	1	0	298	44	10
Bow Valley Bobcats	4	2	0	130	157	8
Chestermere Cowboys	3	3	0	42	174	6
Bert Church Chargers	2	4	0	74	159	4
George McDougall Mustangs	1	5	0	22	181	2
Springbank Phoenix	0	6	0	37	179	0

TIER III FINAL: Cochrane 47 Springbank 0

TIER II SEMIS: Bert Church 14 Chestermere 1
Foothills 68 Geo. McDougall 0

TIER II FINAL: Foothills 34 Bert Church 0

<u>BIG SKY LEAGUE</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Holy Trinity Knights	7	0	0	231	25	14
Strathmore Spartans	3	3	1	131	144	7
Bow Valley Wolverines	2	4	1	73	153	5
Highwood Mustangs	1	6	0	80	180	2

South Division

Drumheller Titans	7	0	0	231	25	14
Olds Spartans	3	3	1	131	144	7
Oilfields Drillers	2	4	1	73	153	5
J.C. Charyk Hawks	1	6	0	80	180	2
Rimbey Spartans						

**Standings
not sent in**

TIER III SEMIS: Holy Trinity 31 Highwood 6
Strathmore 36 Bow Valley 21

TIER III FINAL: Holy Trinity 28 Strathmore 6

TIER IV SEMIS: Drumheller 35 J.C. Charyk 6
Oilfields 13 Olds 6

TIER IV FINAL: Drumheller 18 Oilfields 10

<u>SOUTHERN ALBERTA</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
<u>"A" Division</u>						
L.C.I. Rams	4	0	0			8
Raymond Comets	3	1	0			6
Cardston Cougars	2	2	0			4
Catholic Central Cougars	1	3	0			2
Winston Churchill Bulldogs	0	4	0			0
<u>"B" Division</u>						
Willow Creek Cobras	3	0	0			6
W.R. Myers Rebels	2	1	0			4
Kate Andrews Pride	1	2	0			2
Kainai Warriors	0	3	0			0

"A" Division

L.C.I. Rams	4	0	0			8
Raymond Comets	3	1	0			6
Cardston Cougars	2	2	0			4
Catholic Central Cougars	1	3	0			2
Winston Churchill Bulldogs	0	4	0			0

"B" Division

Willow Creek Cobras	3	0	0			6
W.R. Myers Rebels	2	1	0			4
Kate Andrews Pride	1	2	0			2
Kainai Warriors	0	3	0			0

Tier I Final: Raymond 15 L.C.I. 13

Tier II Final: Cardston 36 Catholic Central 16

Tier III Final: Winston Churchill 44 W.R. Myers 7

Tier IV Semis: Not played

Tier IV Final: Not played

<u>RANGELAND LEAGUE</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>PF</u>	<u>PA</u>	<u>PTS</u>
Medicine Hat Mohawks						
McCoy Colts						
Crescent Heights Vikings						
Brooks Buffalos						

Standings

not sent in

SEMIS: Medicine Hat 52 Brooks 6

Crescent Heights 18 McCoy 3

FINAL: Medicine Hat 35 Crescent Heights 7

ASAA Region 1 Cross Over Games

Tier II: Medicine Hat 16 Cardston 15

Tier III: Winston Churchill 27 Cres. Hgts 14

A.S.A.A. HIGH SCHOOL PROVINCIALS

TIER I

1/4'S: Bev Facey 29 Fort McMurray 6
Strathcona 24 Grande Prairie 0
St. Francis 41 Henry Wise Wood 16
Raymond 15 L.C.I. 13

SEMIS: Bev Facey 16 Strathcona 8
Raymond 45 St. Francis 7

FINAL: Raymond 25 Bev Facey 0

TIER II

1/4's: Archbishop Jordan 45 Lloydminster 14
Eastglen 47 Hunting Hills 7
St. Mary's 38 John Diefenbaker 7
Medicine Hat 20 Foothills 7

SEMIS: Archbishop Jordan 30 Eastglen 2
St. Mary's 32 Medicine Hat 29 (OT)

FINAL: St. Mary's 17 Archbishop Jordan 14

TIER III

1/4's: Beaumont 34 Peace River 0
Wetaskiwin 36 St. Paul 25
Cochrane 63 Strathmore 7
Winston Churchill 30 Holy Trin. Aca. 22

SEMIS: Beaumont 37 Wetaskiwin 13
Cochrane 63 Winston Churchill 6

FINAL: Cochrane 51 Beaumont 3

TIER IV

1/4's: Ardrossan 23 Sexsmith 7
Sylvan Lake 22 Wainwright 7
Willow Creek 30 Bow Valley 12
Drumheller 47 Kate Andrews 14

SEMIS: Ardrossan 21 Sylvan Lake 15
Willow Creek 19 Drumheller 15

FINAL: Ardrossan 41 Willow Creek 19

FINAL: Camrose 33 Stettler 12

CALGARY BANTAM LEAGUE W L T PF PA PTS

North Division

Calgary Cowboys	7	0	0	207	51	14
Calgary Hilltoppers	6	1	0	210	48	12
Calgary Mavericks	4	3	0	198	103	8
Calgary Golden Hawks	3	4	0	182	234	6
Cochrane Lions	3	4	0	187	126	6
Calgary Broncos	1	6	0	70	200	2
Airdrie Storm	0	7	0	18	326	0

South Division

Calgary Bulldogs	6	1	0	179	62	12
Foothills Eagles	6	1	0	200	109	12
Calgary Wildcats	4	3	0	138	113	8
Calgary Falcons	3	4	0	104	139	6
Calgary Stampeders	2	4	1	162	99	5
Calgary Colts	2	4	1	127	138	5
Bow Valley Wolverines	1	6	0	40	274	2

SEMIS: Calgary Bulldogs 10 Cal. Hilltoppers 8

Calgary Cowboys 44 Foothills Eagles 40

FINAL: Cal. Bulldogs 25 Cal. Cowboys 17 (OT)

SOUTH. ALBERTA MINOR W L T PF PA PTS

Big Sky Division

Lethbridge Stampeders	7	0	0	242	30	14
Brooks Roadrunners	6	1	0	258	80	12
Lethbridge Jr. Rams	4	2	0	174	110	8
Parkside Pythons	4	3	0	153	146	8
Medicine Hat Colts	3	3	0	143	124	6
Lethbridge Bombers	1	5	1	109	249	3
Medicine Hat Hawks	1	5	1	97	196	3
Lethbridge Jr. Bulldogs	0	7	0	26	260	0

Chinook Division

Raymond Jr. Comets	7	0	0	193	13	14
Cardston Cougars	6	1	0	194	20	12
Claresholm Raiders	5	2	0	154	87	10
Magrath Wolverines	4	3	0	91	77	8
Kainai Eagles	3	4	0	70	154	6
Taber Jr. Rebels	1	6	0	36	127	2
Pincher Creek Mustangs	1	6	0	9	122	2
Coaldale Golden Bears	0	7	0	26	163	0

FINAL: Raymond 26 Leth. Stampeders 14

PEE-WEE

GRANDE PRAIRIE PEE-WEE W L T PF PA PTS

Grande Prairie Mavericks						
Grande Prairie Broncos						
Grande Prairie Rams						
Grande Prairie Bears						

Standings
not sent in

FINAL: Results not sent in

PRAIRIE THUNDER LEAGUE W L T PF PA PTS

Wainwright Guard Dogs	5	0	1	145	18	11
Stettler Panthers	4	1	1	152	20	9
Sylvan Lake Bears	2	4	0	78	165	4
Wetaskiwin Wildcats	0	6	0	19	191	0

FINAL: Stettler 19 Wainwright 0

BANTAM

GRANDE PRAIRIE MINOR W L T PF PA PTS

Grande Prairie Raiders						
Sexsmith Shamrocks						
West Country Lightning						
Grande Prairie Broncos						

Standings
not sent in

FINAL: G. P. Raiders 18 West Country 8

PEACE RIVER MINOR W L T PF PA PTS

Peace River Royals						
Peace River Hurricanes						
Peace River Raiders						
Peace River Eagles						

Standings
not sent in

FINAL: Results not sent in

CENTRAL ALBERTA BANTAM W L T PF PA PTS

Notre Dame Cougars	5	1	0	118	79	10
Hunting Hills Lightning	5	1	0	130	74	10
Olds Bulldogs	4	2	0	156	64	8
Innisfail Cyclones	3	3	0	98	103	6
Sylvan Lake Lions	3	3	0	99	97	6
Lindsay Thurber Raiders	1	5	0	79	169	2
Lacombe Raiders	0	6	0	61	154	0

FINAL: Notre Dame 24 Olds 14

CAPITAL DISTRICT BANTAM W L T PF PA PTS

Jackie Parker Division

Sherwood Park Rams	9	0	0	416	28	18
Millwoods Grizzlies	6	3	0	250	90	12
Stony Plain Blue Bombers	4	5	0	284	188	8
North Edmonton Seahawks	4	5	0	195	229	8
Leduc Ticats	3	6	0	228	299	6
St. Albert 49ers	3	6	0	131	231	6
W. Edmonton Silver Raiders	1	7	1	83	397	3

John Bright Division W L T PF PA PTS

W. Edmonton Black Raiders	7	1	1	368	94	15
Edmonton Chargers	7	1	1	212	96	15
Spruce Grove Cougars	6	3	0	246	155	12
St. Albert Fury	5	4	0	262	174	10
Sherwood Park Wolverines	5	4	0	248	197	10
Fort McMurray Ravens	1	7	1	151	423	3
Sherwood Park Northstars	0	9	0	44	517	0

SEMIS: Sherwood Park Rams 27 Grizzlies 0

Edm. Chargers 27 Black Raiders 19

FINAL: S.P. Rams 16 Edmonton Chargers 14

PRAIRIE THUNDER LEAGUE W L T PF PA PTS

North Division

St. Paul Bengals	6	1	0	215	119	12
Lac La Biche Drillers	5	2	0	192	97	10
Lloydminster Chargers	4	3	0	178	126	8
Grande Centre Royals	4	3	0	99	92	8
St. Albert Panthers	4	3	0	108	61	8
Vermilion Grizzlies	1	6	0	103	155	2
Wainwright Commados	0	7	0	12	277	0

South Division

Camrose Coyotes	6	0	0	238	15	12
Stettler Cougars	5	1	0	244	64	10
Elk Island Lightning	3	3	0	169	115	6
Wetaskiwin Warriors	3	3	0	57	134	6
Strathmore Spartans	2	4	0	164	181	4
Drumheller Titans	0	6	0	45	342	0

SEMIS: W.E. Black Raiders 32 Millwoods Grizzlies 8
 S.P. Warriors 6 Stony Plain Bombers 0
FINAL: W. Edm. Black Raiders 6 S.P. Warriors 0

MINOR PROVINCIALS

BANTAM (Age 13-15*)

*- not in Grade 10 or higher

TIER I

Quarterfinals – Sat. November 5

Sherwood Park Rams 16 Edmonton Chargers 12
 Calgary Bulldogs 25 Calgary Cowboys 17 (OT)
 Raymond Comets 26 Lethbridge Stampeders 14

Semi-Final - Sat. November 12

Sherwood Park Rams 34 Raymond Comets 14

Championship - Sat. November 19

Sherwood Park Rams 31 Calgary Bulldogs 0

TIER II

Quarter-Finals- Sat. November 5

Camrose Coyotes 18 Grande Prairie Raiders 14
 Sher. Pk Wolverines 19 Spruce Grove Cougars 13 (OT)
 Calgary Mavericks 26 Calgary Wildcats 0
 Lethbridge Rams 46 Parkside Pythons 0

Semi-Finals - Sat. November 12

Sherwood Park Wolverines 23 Camrose Coyotes 18
 Calgary Mavericks 21 Lethbridge Rams 20

Championship - Sat. November 19

Calgary Mavericks 48 Sherwood Park Wolverines 0

TIER III

Quarter-Finals - Sat. November 5

Notre Dame Cougars 34 Lac La Biche Drillers 12
 Leduc Ti-Cats 27 Edmonton Seahawks 21
 Cochrane Lions 22 Calgary Stampeders 14
 Medicine Hat Hawks 34 Lethbridge Bombers 14

Semi-Finals - Sat. November 12

Leduc Ti-Cats 34 Notre Dame Cougars 0
 Cochrane Lions 49 Medicine Hat Hawks 19

Championship - Sat. November 19

Leduc Ti-Cats 17 Cochrane Lions 16

PEE-WEE (Age 11-12)

TIER I

Semi-Finals – Sat. November 5

Sherwood Park Bulldogs 16 Millwoods Maulers 8
 Calgary Wildcats 16 Calgary Bulldogs 14

Championship - Sat. November 12

Sherwood Park Bulldogs 16 Calgary Wildcats 8

TIER II

Quarterfinals - Sat. Nov. 5

Edmonton Chargers 34 Camrose Buffalos 8
 Foothills Eagles 24 Calgary Colts 2

Semi-Final - Sat. November 12

Edmonton Chargers 32 Stettler Panthers 12

Championship - Sat. November 19

Edmonton Chargers 28 Foothills Eagles 26

ATOM (Age 10 and under)

Championship - Sat. November 5

CAPITAL DISTRICT PEE-WEE W L T PF PA PTS

Jackie Parker Division

Sherwood Park Raiders	7	2	0	214	52	14
Camrose Buffalos				6	3	0
Leduc Wildcats				4	5	0
Edmonton Seahawks				3	6	0
St. Albert Avengers				2	7	0
Stony Plain Blue Bombers				2	7	0
Millwoods Grizzlies				1	8	0
W. Edmonton Silver Raiders				0	9	0

John Bright Division

Sherwood Park Bulldogs	9	0	0	306	6	18
Millwoods Maulers	8	1	0	347	63	16
W. Edmonton Black Raiders	7	2	0	205	55	14
Edmonton Chargers	6	3	0	174	118	12
Spruce Grove Cougars	5	4	0	172	144	10
Sherwood Park Cougars	4	5	0	84	80	8
St. Albert Riders	4	5	0	140	138	8
St. Albert Eagles	4	5	0	199	292	8

SEMIS: S.P. Bulldogs 8 W.Edm. Black Raiders 0
 Millwoods Maulers 22 S.P. Raiders 14

FINAL: S.P. Bulldogs 16 Millwoods Maulers 8

CALGARY & AREA PEE-WEE W L T PF PA PTS

“North” Division

Calgary Hilltoppers	7	0	1	246	64	14
Cochrane Lions	5	3	0	175	104	10
Calgary Mavericks	5	3	0	199	123	10
Calgary Cowboys	5	3	0	195	141	10
Calgary Broncos	3	5	0	153	248	6
Calgary Kodiaks	3	5	0	120	215	6
Airdrie Storm	1	7	0	37	205	2

“South” Division

Calgary Bulldogs	8	0	0	261	76	16
Calgary Wildcats	6	1	1	244	56	13
Foothills Eagles	6	2	0	146	102	12
Calgary Colts	3	5	0	84	119	6
Calgary Falcons	1	6	1	54	158	3
Chestermere Chiefs	1	7	0	44	195	2
Calgary Stampeders	0	7	1	79	250	1

SEMIS: Calgary Wildcats 38 Cal. Hilltoppers 15
 Calgary Bulldogs 40 Cochrane Lions 21

FINAL: Calgary Wildcats 16 Calgary Bulldogs 14

ATOM

CAPITAL DISTRICT ATOM W L T PF PA PTS

Jackie Parker Division

Millwoods Grizzlies	9	0	0	279	48	18
Stony Plain Blue Bombers	6	0	3	219	66	12
North Edmonton Seahawks	6	3	0	127	105	12
Sherwood Park Eskimos	3	6	0	154	165	6
St. Albert Buccaneers	3	6	0	154	277	6
Leduc Bobcats	2	7	0	130	160	4
West Edmonton Silver Raiders	1	8	0	68	236	2

John Bright Division

Sherwood Park Warriors	7	1	1	225	36	15
West Edmonton Black Raiders	7	2	0	178	43	14
Sherwood Park Broncos	4	3	2	140	92	10
Edmonton Chargers	4	4	1	125	109	9
St. Albert Vikings	4	5	0	147	112	8
Spruce Grove Cougars	3	5	1	68	81	7
Camrose Buffalos	0	9	0	12	473	0

UPCOMING EVENTS

UNIVERSITY/JUNIOR/OTHER

January 27-28- Football Alberta AGM - Banff
TBA - U of Calgary Dinosaur Fundraising Dinner
April 7-9 (tent.) - "North" Senior Bowl Sel. Camp - U of A
April 21-23(tent.) - "South" Senior Bowl Sel. Camp-UofC
April 28-30 (tent.) - U of Calgary Main Spring Camp
April 28-30(tent.) - U of Alberta Main Spring Camp
April TBA - Edmonton Wildcats 10's & 11's Camp
May 5-6 (tent.) - Edmonton Wildcat Spring Camp
May 6 – CIS All-Star Game - Ontario
May 12-14 - Edmonton Huskies Spring Camp
May TBA - Calgary Colts Spring Camp
* - all above listed dates are subject to change

HIGH SCHOOL

February 18-19 (tent.) - U of A Lineman Camp
April 7-9 (tent.) - "North" Senior Bowl Sel. Camp - U of A
April 21-23(tent.) - "South" Senior Bowl Sel. Camp-UofC
April TBA - Edmonton Wildcats 10's & 11's Camp
May 19-21 - Senior Bowl Main Camp
May 22 - Senior Bowl High School All-Star Game
TBA(May)- Edmonton Eskimo High School Dev't Camp
July 5-8 - Team Alberta Training Camp- Edmonton
July 9-16 - Football Canada Cup - Winnipeg
Oct. 6,7& 9 - Football Alta. Thanksgiving Jamboree
November 11 - Provincial Regional Semi-Finals
November 18 - Provincial Regional Finals
November 25 - Provincial Championship Finals

MINOR

February 18-19 (tent.) - U of A Lineman Camp
May TBA - Alberta Summer Games Selection Camps
June TBA - Stampeders Alumni Pee-Wee Dev't Camp
June TBA - Stampeders Alumni Bantam Dev't Camp
November 4 - Atom Provincial Championship
November 4 – Bantam Provincial Quarter-Finals
November 11 – Pee-Wee Provincial Semi-Finals
November 11 - Bantam Provincial Semi-Finals
November 19 - Pee-Wee Provincial Finals
November 19 - Bantam Provincial Finals

COACHING

March 10-11 - U of C/Football Alberta Coaches Clinic
March 11 - Football Alberta Coaches Awards Banquet
N.C.C.P.FOOTBALL TECHINCAL CLINICS -
Will be sent to you in Future Mailout

N.C.C.P. COACHING THEORY CLINICS -

Part A

Calgary
Jan. 7-8 – University of Calgary (contact 220-7749)
Jan. 14-15 – Talisman Centre (contact 233-8393)
Feb. 11-12 – University of Calgary (contact 220-7749)
Feb. 25-26 – Talisman Centre (contact 233-8393)
March 4-5 – SAIT (contact 284-7248)
March 18-19 – Talisman Centre (contact 233-8393)
Edmonton
Jan. 14-15 – Grant MacEwan (contact 497-5300)
Feb. 11-12 – Grant MacEwan (contact 497-5300)
March 4-5 – Grant MacEwan (contact 497-5300)

March 25-26 – Grant MacEwan (contact 497-5300)
Fort McMurray
Jan. 28-29 – Keyano College (contact 791-8944)
Grande Prairie
Feb. 4-5 – G.P. Regional College (contact 539-2816)
Lethbridge
Feb. 11-12 – Lethbridge Comm.College (contact 320-3323)
Medicine Hat
Feb. 4-5 – Medicine Hat College (contact 529-3844)
Red Deer
Jan. 28-29 – Red Deer College (contact 357-3663)
March 4-5 – Red Deer College (contact 357-3663)
Rocky Mountain House
Feb. 11-12 – Town Hall (contact 845-3720)
Siksika
Feb. 4-5 – TBA (contact 734-5315)
Stony Plain
Feb. 25-26 – Community Centre (contact 963-8591)
Part B:
Calgary
Feb. 11-12 – Talisman Centre (contact 233-8393)
Feb. 25-26 – University of Calgary (contact 220-7749)
March 11-12 – Talisman Centre (contact 233-8393)
March 25-26 – SAIT (contact 284-7248)
Edmonton
Jan. 28-29 – Grant MacEwan (contact 497-5300)
Feb. 11-12 – Grant MacEwan (contact 497-5300)
March 18-19 – Grant MacEwan (contact 497-5300)
Grande Prairie
March 25-26 – G.P. Regional College (contact 539-2816)
Lethbridge
March 4-5 – Leth. Comm. College (contact 320-3323)
Medicine Hat
March 18-19 – Medicine Hat College (contact 529-3844)
Red Deer
March 25-26 – Red Deer College (contact 357-3663)
Rocky Mountain House
March 4-5 – Town Hall (contact 845-3720)
Siksika
March 11-12 – TBA (contact 734-5315)
LEVEL III:
Calgary
Mar. 11/12 & 18/19 – U. of Calgary (contact 220-7749)
Edmonton
Mar. 25/26 & Apr. 1/2 – Gr. MacEwan (contact 497-5300)

Cut out the Middle Man!

For More information on NCCP Theory Clinics go to:

www.cd.gov.ab.ca/asrpwf/programs/sports/3mnccp
**Website: Alberta Sport, Recreation, Parks
& Wildlife Foundation**

For inquiries about your current certification
go to:

www.coach.ca

KODIAK SPORTS

Let Kodiak Sports help you
practise and play with pride!

Personal Service for all your football needs

Helmets,
Shoulder Pads,
Practise Wear,
Game Wear,
Field Equipment,
Sideline Apparel.

Proud Sponsor of the
**Senior Bowl,
Bantam Bowl,
and Team Alberta**

Contact Kodiak Sports at
(403)291-4479

Serving Alberta and
Saskatchewan for over
15 years.

RESOURCE LIST

The following is a list of resources available through the Football Alberta office. Should anyone wish to access these resources please contact us at (780)427-8108. The prices are listed for both member (ie. those individuals whose teams have submitted Membership Fees to Football Alberta) and non-members. Additional GST and Shipping costs will be charged.

TACKLE RESOURCES

	<u>Member</u>	<u>Non-Member</u>
<u>Rule Books</u>		
Tackle Rule/Case Books	\$15.00	\$18.00
<u>Coaching</u>		
NCCP Level I Student Manual	\$70.00	\$85.00
NCCP Level II Student Manual	\$70.00	\$85.00
NCCP Level III Student Manual	\$70.00	\$85.00
Gino Fracas Book	\$10.00	\$15.00
Introduction to Football: A Guide for Novice Coaches	\$15.00	\$20.00
A Beginner Strength and Conditioning Program for Youth	\$15.00	\$20.00
<u>Equipment Managers</u>		
Purchasing and Maintaining Football Equipment Manual	\$15.00	\$20.00

TOUCH RESOURCES

	<u>Member</u>	<u>Non-Member</u>
<u>Rule Books</u>		
Touch Rule Book	\$13.00	\$18.00
Touch Case Book	\$13.00	\$18.00
Introduction to the Rules Manual	FREE	
<u>Coaching</u>		
Coaches and Teachers Instructor Manual	\$30.00	\$35.00
Touch Basics: Rules, Skills Strategies and Drills	\$15.00	\$20.00

**GST AND POSTAGE WILL
BE ADDED ONTO
ALL PRICES AT POINT
OF PURCHASE**

Analysis of Coaching Interventions

By Tim Enger

Introduction

When one thinks of the job of a coach, either professionally or volunteer based, invariably the concept of "evaluation" comes up. Coaches are seen as having to sift through the talent of his/her players and the many strategies that can be employed in the sport of their choice to make the proper evaluations that can lead to success. However, who is evaluating the coach? Is there a way of analyzing the job that is being done by the coach similar to the way he/she analyses his/her players?

According to Wertheim (2004), "[t]ime was, [coaches] were masters and commanders – indomitable, square-jawed figures with names like Lombardi, Auerbach, Alston, and Bowman – who demanded instant respect and were granted immunity from office politics." Wertheim (2004) also points out that at one point in time, American college coaches were treated like "tenured faculty" living stress-free lives on leafy campuses with little worry about being evaluated or let go for any reason other than their won-loss record. This is contrasted with the feeling that currently a coach, at any level of play, is subject to as much evaluation on their abilities as they give to their players.

In a study of American College/University sport administrators, Barber & Eckrich (1998) found that "76% of [Athletic Directors] stated that evaluation [of a coach] was important for both professional development and contract renewal. They also found that "coaching evaluation is also necessary from an institutional standpoint. Performance appraisals of individual employees is central to the evaluation of the organization as a whole and often directs the organizations future." In short, coaches are no longer immune from the same type of evaluation they give their players and it may mean the difference between being retained or let go even if they are the neighborhood U10 volunteer soccer coach.

The question this paper will look into is: What are both the coach and the administration looking for in terms analysis of their coaching intervention and how can you best get the information you're looking for? It will be dealt with surrounding the self and actual analysis of the author of this paper and the preferred coaching behaviors expressed by his athletes.

Coaching Evaluation Systems

Over the past 15 years of his coaching career, the author of this paper has been subjected to many different types of evaluation. Early on as a coach of minor football players (under the age of 15) the evaluations were mainly from the parents on whether their son enjoyed himself and whether they got their moneys worth out of the experience.

Later on as a coach of high school and university players the evaluation was mainly from the players themselves, although admittedly it was spotty at best and not done every season. Recently, the author has experimented with peer evaluation, getting the thoughts of his coaching colleagues on what kind of job they thought he was doing.

Out of that the main themes were usually that he runs a tight ship, is fairly consistent with his players and has a genuine empathy for them, however is hesitant to take input from other coaches, and - ironically for the purposes of this paper - dislikes being evaluated.

This attempt at getting peer evaluation as well as input from players is the first steps in creating a "360 degree system of evaluation which provides input from a variety of perspectives" (Edwards & Ewan, 1996 from Barber & Eckrich, 1998). Performance appraisals have come a long way from just asking the players what they think of their coach. A lot of research has gone into developing coaching evaluation systems that touch on more than just the on-field performance of the coach in question. According to Barber & Eckrich (1998), "multisource systems of evaluation provide opportunities for input from supervisors, peers, consumers, and self rating that increase the credibility of the performance review, as well as communication within the organization."

The need for a more holistic look at coaching evaluation was the basis for Chelladurai & MacLean (1995) to develop a framework in this manner in their study of Canadian College/University coaches and administrators. Within it they suggest evaluating the coaches through two principal components – behavioral products and behavioral processes. In the component of behavioral products issues such as win/loss record, championships, and individual coaching awards were prominent while the behavioral processes component dealt with the coaches ability to teach, knowledge of the game, athlete usage, recruiting, scouting, and administrative duties. This scale was described by Cunningham & Dixon (2003) as an "invaluable contribution to the sport management literature by introducing a multifaceted framework and instrument". Along with the praise though, Cunningham & Dixon (2003) found that scale to be lacking in that it only dealt with the Canadian side of things and also that the relative importance of each component was not established. For example, just what level of importance do administrators put on win/loss records as opposed to administrative duties?

Out of their research into current coaching evaluation processes Cunningham & Dixon (2003) further defined the evaluation components by surveying coaches and athletic directors from colleges and universities located in the United States and developed a six-component model for appraising intercollegiate coaches. The six components were Athletic Outcomes, Academic Outcomes, Ethical Behavior, Fiscal Responsibility, Recruit Quality, and Athlete Satisfaction. As in depth as this study goes it is very skewed to the U.S. College experience but still will have some parts very applicable to all types of coaching at all levels.

The main point of all of this is to get as many viewpoints as possible on all the aspects of a coach's job. This is noted as being important to the evaluated coach as well since according to Barber & Eckrich (1998), "[e]valuations that are perceived as fair and which occur frequently, that are descriptive and prescriptive, that provide quality feedback and appropriate strategies for improvement, and that involve some form of self-evaluation

from the coach” are desired.

Getting the Proper Evaluation

If an organization was to enact a coaching evaluation plan similar to that suggested by Cunningham & Dixon (2003) they could amend it anyway they wish to fit their particular environment, but the fact remains they would have to seek out several sources of information to complete the process. Athletic Outcomes are simple enough to gauge but Academic Outcomes may be more or less important depending on whether or not the coach is working in an academic institution or what the philosophies of the community based organization he/she is working for are. Ethical Behavior and Recruit Quality evaluation will depend on what rules are in place to be followed and whether the coach is in breach of any of them in his/her search for success on-field, and Fiscal Responsibility is easy enough to gauge in terms of whether a prescribed budget was followed or not. All of the above components can be evaluated by administrators, club organizers, peer coaches, etc. without the input of the ‘consumers’ of the coaches work (e.g. the players themselves).

At this point the Athlete Satisfaction component comes into play. As previously mentioned from the author of this paper’s standpoint, this is usually the most common method of evaluation a coach at any level gets.

The season ends and the coach hands out questionnaires to the athletes asking for their input on how they thought the season went and what kind of job they think their coach did. The questionnaire can range from a simple yes/no list of items to essay questions. The author of this paper prefers a mixture of both and in his most recent assessment detailed a 10-question yes/no format followed by three long answer questions to allow for more detail.

An issue to be raised about this is that the author of this paper has always been concerned that the players tend to praise him a bit more than is realistic. True, you will always get some players who dislike what you have done as it is true that you can’t please everyone, but when pushed for honest answers the players rarely come up with anything disparaging to say about the coach even in years when the author of this paper knows he hasn’t been at his best. This leads to speculation that since there is a power relationship between player and coach, it may be difficult to break through ‘the commander vs. the commanded’ situation to get a true and honest evaluation.

According to the literature, creating an atmosphere of trust and confidence and one of ‘everything for the good of the team’ would be a good start. Athletes will be more willing to share their thoughts if they feel there will be no recriminations against them and that they feel the coach will actually listen to what they have said. According to Reinboth, et al. (2004), the answer may come from one of the aspects of Self-Determination Theory known as “autonomy support”. “Autonomy support refers to the readiness of an individual in a position of authority (e.g. a coach) to take the other’s (e.g. the athlete’s) perspective, provide appropriate and meaningful information, offer opportunities for choice, while at the same time minimize pressures and demands. (Reinboth, et al., 2004). In their research they found that “the more the coach was perceived as autonomy supportive by her or her athletes,

the more autonomous the athletes felt.” This can lead to better intrinsic motivation of the athletes as according to Amarose & Horn (2000), “students whose teachers exhibit a more autonomous teaching style score higher on intrinsic motivation than do teachers who tend to be more controlling in the classroom” as opposed to “teachers who act in a controlling manner undermine their students perceptions of self-determination, which in turn results in a decrease in intrinsic motivation.” Intrinsically motivated athletes are those who participate for the fun, pleasure and personal mastery of the sport (Amarose & Horn, 2000), and are more likely to be willing to openly answer evaluations of a coach who creates the kind of environment where they can flourish. “[A]thletes who perceived their coaches to exhibit a more democratic coaching style and to respond to players performances with high levels of praise, encouragement, and informationally based feedback would exhibit higher motivation than would athletes who perceived their coaches to be more authoritarian in their leadership style and provided lower levels of praise, encouragement, and informational feedback. (Amarose & Horn, 2000).

Looking back over the years this doesn’t bode well for the author of this paper to get true and honest evaluation from his athletes since through self and peer evaluations he has consistently been viewed as autocratic and not necessarily open to the ideas of others. This is based on his view that his sport of choice, football, is better operated on the basis of a more militaristic, ‘take your orders and execute them’ standpoint, than an open, ‘what do you think?’, type environment. True, while he has experienced a certain level of success on the field it is also apparent from the literature that he has not created an environment conducive to open and honest evaluation from his players who simply might be telling him what he wants to hear at the end of each season.

Another reason that the author of this paper might receive better reviews from his players than the constructive ones he desires is his sense of humor. In a recent evaluation process of his peer coaches the author of this paper noted that all five responses included his sense of humor as one of his strengths when it came to coaching.

This isn’t necessarily a problem in terms of coaching but when it comes to getting an honest evaluation from the players it might be. According to Grisaffe, et al. (2003), “the more the coaches use a sense of humor with the players, the more likely the athletes are to like the coaches.” Whether a coach is technically proficient or ethically sound may not be an issue in an evaluation when players are writing about someone they really like. They may be more than willing to overlook transgressions for the likeable person they had as a coach. In the experience of the author of this paper, he has seen coaches with a tremendous sense of humor and likeability retain their jobs for more time than seems logical despite abysmal win/loss records.

Recommendations for the Future

When this assignment was first considered by the author of this paper it was thought to re-interview a selection of players from the last team he coached with the questions more worded towards assessing his actual coaching behavior and their preferred coaching behavior.

However in reviewing the literature there is considerable doubt as to whether or not the author of this paper created an environment, which would be conducive to honest and open evaluation. Therefore would he really be getting constructive suggestions or just what the players thought he wanted to hear?

In terms of the "360 degree style of evaluation" (Edwards & Ewan, 1996 from Barber & Eckrich, 1998), the author is quite comfortable with the areas of Athletic Outcome, Academic Outcome, Fiscal Responsibility, Ethical Behavior, and Recruit Quality (Cunningham & Dixon, 2003) components that were roughly covered in the evaluations he received at the completion of last season from the Parent Board, League, and School Administration.

However, although the evaluations from the players were quite complimentary the issue of open and honest discussion has been questioned by a review of the literature reference in this paper.

Therefore in the future in order to work towards a continual growth within the coaching community the author of this paper would make the following recommendations for future coaching evaluations.

- Continue the process of peer evaluation from his assistant coaches.
- Add a written evaluation process from any administrative bodies responsible for contracting him to coach (e.g. school principals, presidents of clubs) in the areas of fiscal responsibility, ethical behavior, and recruit quality.
- Determine the philosophy of the team/club in terms of academics and secure a reporting system on the players if academics are indeed judged to be an important part of the sporting experience.
- Attempt to create a more "autonomy support" environment on the field. This can be done through giving the captains more authority, opening up film sessions to more input from the players, and having weekly meeting with team leaders. There can also be more give and take between the head coach and assistant coaches to ensure a better peer evaluation.
- Have the players polled not only at the end of the season but mid-season as well and create a questionnaire that asks more about actual coaching behaviors and preferred coaching behaviors than how they thought the season went.
- Do not lose the sense of humor but understand that it may skew the results in favor of good reviews.

In this regard there will be an opportunity for a more in depth and constructive review, from the players in particular, rather than rehashing last season.

Conclusion

The concepts of coaching evaluations are still in relative infancy compared to the evaluation of players that coaches have been doing for decades. Once immune from any kind of scrutiny other than win/loss records the coach now has to answer to many masters, including those they coach, to justify their activities, behaviors, and results. A study of the work done so far has been of great interest to the author of this paper and has created a hope that more work will be done in the future. It would be beneficial to

see a study on how coaches have reacted to increased scrutiny over the years.

References

- Amarose, A.J., & Horn, T.S. (2000). Intrinsic motivation: Relationships with collegiate athletes gender, scholarship status, and perceptions of their coach's behavior. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, **22**, 63-84
- Barber, H., & Eckrich, J. (1998). Methods and Criteria Employed in the Evaluation of Intercollegiate Coaches. *Journal of Sport Management*, **12**, 301-322
- Chelladurai P., & MacLean, J.C. (1995). Dimensions of coaching performance: Development of a scale. *Journal of Sport Management*, **9**, 194-207
- Cunningham, G.B. & Dixon, M.A. (2003). New perspectives concerning performance appraisals of intercollegiate coaches, *Quest*, **55**, 177-192
- Grisaffe, C., Blom, L.C., & Burke, K.L. (2003). The effects of head and assistant coaches' use of humor on collegiate soccer players' evaluation of their coaches. *Journal of Sport Behavior*, **26**, 2
- Reinboth, M., Duda, J.L., & Ntoumanis, N. (2004), Dimensions of coaching behavior, need satisfaction, and the psychological and physical welfare of young athletes. *Motivation and Emotion*, **28**, 3, 297-313
- Wertheim, L.J. (2004) Good job. You're fired! *Sports Illustrated*, **100**, 18, 54-63

REPORT

Jan/06: Executive Director's Report - Brian Fryer

Annual Coaches Clinic date is March 10-11/2006.

In conjunction with the Annual Univ. of Calgary /FAB Coaches Clinic a **NCCP Technical Level I** clinic will go on at the same time. Coaches must attend and pay for both clinics to receive the Technical completion because of the total hours required from both areas. The NCCP Level 1 will continue on after the Awards Luncheon, Mar.11 from 3:00 – 7:00 and Sunday/March 12 from 9–1 pm. Registrations forms for both will be mailed to all main contacts by mid-February. As well check out of website at that time for the downloadable forms.

A separate registration form will be sent for a list of other NCCP clinics as per host league requests. Remember, any local league/ass./team may host a clinic but must have at least 12 coaches and a location. We will line up the course conductors/dates, subject to their availability. To avoid confusion in regards to Certification, following the completion of the Technical and Theory NCCP components, one season of coaching must be completed before a Practical Experience form can be filled out (available by contacting Football AB). Remember that the new Theory Part A is the same as Theory Level 1 and Theory Part B is the same as Theory Level 2. The schedule for the Theory courses in Alberta is at www.cd.gov.ab.ca/asrpfw/programs/sports then click NCCP.

MEMBERSHIP/SENIOR BOWL – Reminder of the Tryout Policy to both selection camps.

All high school coaches should be reminded again that the policy for the 2006 Senior Bowl is, **only players from Football Alberta paid member schools will be allowed to tryout in the selection camps and participate in the Senior Bowl.** All high school membership team fees (\$100) must be into Football Alberta's office **prior** to April 1st. This is the end of the 2005 membership date (April 1, 2005 – March 31, 2006).

Selection Camps: NORTH (April 7-9) – SOUTH (April 21-23)

The **2006 Membership** registration forms will be available at our website in early February. We will be changing the effective dates for this year to start on March 1/06 – March 1/07. This date will then include any midget football or early season March camps. Information on membership, insurance and downloadable forms are on our website at www.footballalberta.ab.ca

REEBOK NFL/CFL REGIONAL FLAG FOOTBALL CHAMPS

The following regional winners will be represented at the National Championships that will be held during Super Bowl weekend, February 3-5, 2006 at the Soccer Place in Windsor.

Edmonton Regional Champions: Riverbend Jr High School

Calgary Regional Champions: Nickle Jr High

Regina Regional Champions: W.S. Hawrylak School

Winnipeg Regional Champions: Ecole River Heights

Montreal Regional Champions: Wilfrid Bastien

Ottawa Regional Champions: Cedarview Middle School

Toronto Regional Champions: DA Morrison vs W. Parkway

Hamilton Regional Champions: Immaculate Heart

Windsor Regional Champions: Windsor Minor Football

Vancouver Regional Champions: St. Helen's

JUNIOR FOOTBALL

EDMONTON HUSKIES WIN 2ND CONSECUTIVE

The Edmonton Huskies claimed the second consecutive Canadian Bowl with a 34-15 victory over the St. Leonard Cougars on November 12th. The Cougars went undefeated in the Ontario Football Conference including two playoff games. The Huskies finished 5-3 in the Prairie Football Conference regular season then took their show on the road for four playoff games including to Montreal for the final. Congratulations to Mike McLean and his staff.

CJFL ALL-STAR TEAM

OL -Simeon Rotter (Edmonton Huskies)

REC – Cassidy Doneff (Calgary Colts)

LB – Jason Bobst (Edmonton Huskies)

RETURN SPECIALIST – Marco Iannuzzi (Edm Huskies)

DEF PLAYER OF THE YEAR – Jason Bobst (Huskies)

COACH OF THE YEAR – Mike Watson (Winnipeg Rifles)

CALGARY COLTS – Were excited on a Dec 15 press conference, to announce Keith Kendal as the new Head Coach for the 2006 season. The 0-8 Colts are looking for new leadership under Kendal who took the Colts to their 1989 and + 1990 National Championships as a head coach. From 1971-1981, he coached in the Calgary Bantam league, with an astounding 114-4-2 record while winning 10 city championships. From 1982-1987, he was on the coaching staff of the Un. Of Calgary Dinos, including the Vanier Cup winning team in 1985. He was with the Colts from 1987-91 and over the last five years Keith returned to coaching Bantam and led his team to the South AB Div 2 title in 2004.

UNIVERSITY FOOTBALL

Congratulations to head coach, Jerry Friesen, who guided the Golden Bears to the second consecutive Canada West final, only to lose out to the Un. Of Saskatchewan Huskies. Players of note include: Andrew Gunther, receiver, (Golden Bears) made CIS 2nd team All-Canadian/ Defensive-end Dan Federkeil (Calgary Dinos) and Hec Creighton-winning receiver Andy Fantuz, from the Un. Of Western Ontario Mustangs, are the two Canadian Interuniversity Sport football players selected to suit up in the 81st edition of the East-West Shrine Game on January 21, 2006 at the Alamodome in San Antonio, Texas.

Federkeil is the first Dino invited to the classic since OL Ben Fairbrother in 1997 and the ninth in team history. Federkeil was the conference nominee for the JP Metras Trophy and was also named to the CIS 2nd All-Canadian team.

Dinos head in new direction – The Un. Of Calgary football program will have a new Head Coach for the 2006 season. Director of Athletics, Don Wilson, announced on Jan. 6, that Tony Fasano will not be returning. Fasano coached the Dinos for 20 years, the last 10 seasons as the Head Coach, posting 44 wins, 43 losses, and one tie in Canada West Conference regular season competitions, and a record of zero wins and six losses in post-season.

Current full-time assistant coach, John Stevens, will look after the team on an interim basis as the Department will start the search for a new head coach. The Dino Athletics and Football Alberta would like to thank Tony for his commitment to Dinos football and the liaison with Football Alberta's programs and the annual Coaches Clinic.

UBC Thunderbirds – Released Head Coach Laurent DesLauriers.

McMaster Marauders – Hired Wilfrid Laurier Off. Coord, Stefan Ptaszek to be the new Head Coach.

