

**PLAYER EVALUATION PACKAGE**

**Example- Sept. 1, 2019**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NUMBER | POSITION | SKATING | OFFENSIVE SKILLS | DEFENSIVE SKILLS | HOCKEY SENSE | INTANGIBLES |
| Orange 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NUMBER | POSITION | SKATING | OFFENSIVE SKILLS | DEFENSIVE SKILLS | HOCKEY SENSE | INTANGIBLES |
| Blue 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

EVALUATION CATEGORIES PERFORMANCE RATING

1. Skating 5-Excellent
2. Offensive Skills 4-Above Average
	1. Individual 3-Average
	2. Team 2-Weak
3. Defensive Skills 1-Poor
	1. Individual
	2. Team
4. Thinking the game-Hockey Sense
5. Intangibles

Rating Guide

5. **EXCELLENT**

An excellent elite-level performance. Player executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at the same position on the opposing team. This player had a lasting dominant effect throughout the session. Player can definitely play and impact at the next level.

4. **ABOVE AVERAGE**

An above average performance. Good plays and decisions clearly outnumber the poor ones. Factor not allowing the performance to be a (5) might include:

 -playing time lost due to game circumstances

 -slight skill deficiency compared to a (5)

 -slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control

Player can definitely play at the next level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.

3. **AVERAGE**

An average performance; requires more observation. Player made their share of mistakes/poor decisions but they were countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving a satisfactory evaluation on this player. Player warrants consideration as a candidate for the next level.

2. **WEAK**

A below average performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber the good ones. Player may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This player shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the next level.

1. **POOR**

Unacceptable performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching levels of contribution required or expected. Significant, blatant deficiencies in all areas. The potential to play and contribute at the next level is questionable.